#### STATE OF NEW YORK INDUSTRIAL BOARD OF APPEALS

In the Matter of the Petition of:	× :
STEVE DONIGAN AND THE STEVE DONIGAN AGENCY, INC.,	:
Petitioners,	: DOCKET NO. PR 14-192
To Review Under Section 101 of the Labor Law: An Order to Comply with Article 19 of the Labor Law and an Order to Comply with Article 6 of	RESOLUTION OF DECISION
the Labor Law, both dated July 3, 2014,	· · ·
- against -	:
THE COMMISSIONER OF LABOR,	:
Respondent.	:
******	x

# APPEARANCES

Steve Donigan, petitioner pro se and for The Steve Donigan Agency, Inc.

Pico Ben-Amotz, General Counsel, NYS Department of Labor (Benjamin T. Garry of counsel), for respondent.

# WHEREAS:

- The above proceeding was commenced on August 29, 2014, when petitioners filed a petition for review pursuant to Labor Law § 101 and Part 66 of the Industrial Board of Appeals' Rules of Procedure and Practice (12 NYCRR Part 66) of the orders issued by the respondent Commissioner of Labor against them on July 3, 2014; and
- 2. The respondent moved on October 27, 2014, for an order granting permission to issue Amended Orders to Comply. The respondent sought that relief because the Orders to Comply were issued under Article 19 and Article 6, against a "Stephen Donigan" and petitioner stated that was not his correct name in his petition and respondent acknowledges that the correct name and spelling for the employer for these orders is "Steve Donigan." The respondent wished to issue Amended Orders to Comply that would remove the name of "Stephen Donigan" and add the name "Steve Donigan" as a responsible party on the orders; and
- 3. The petitioners did not oppose the motion; and

- 4. The Board, by an Interim Resolution of Decision of March 11, 2015, approved the issuance of the amended orders as requested by the respondent, effective the date of the decision, but suspended any interest that had accrued between July 3, 2014, and the date the respondent files an answer with the Board and ordered the service of the amended orders on the petitioners in accordance with Labor Law § 33 within 30 days of the service of the decision; and
- 5. The Interim Resolution of Decision was served on the respondent on March 13, 2015; and
- The respondent has not provided the Board with proof of service of the amended orders as ordered by the Board.

#### NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED THAT:

The petition for review be, and the same hereby is, granted in accordance with the Board's Rules.

Vilda Vera Mayuga, Chairperson At Albany, New York

Y. Christopher Meagher, Member At Albany, New York

LaMarr J. Jackson, Member At Rochester, New York

Michael A. Arcuri, Member At Syracuse, New York

Dated and signed by the Members of the Industrial Board of Appeals on July 22, 2015.

- 4. The Board, by an Interim Resolution of Decision of March 11, 2015, approved the issuance of the amended orders as requested by the respondent, effective the date of the decision, but suspended any interest that had accrued between July 3, 2014, and the date the respondent files an answer with the Board and ordered the service of the amended orders on the petitioners in accordance with Labor Law § 33 within 30 days of the service of the decision; and
- 5. The Interim Resolution of Decision was served on the respondent on March 13, 2015; and
- 6. The respondent has not provided the Board with proof of service of the amended orders as ordered by the Board.

### NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED THAT:

The petition for review be, and the same hereby is, granted in accordance with the Board's Rules.

Vilda Vera Mayuga, Chairperson At Albany, New York

J. Christopher Meagher, Member At Albany, New York

Allan

LaMarr J. Jackson, Member At Rochester, New York

Michael A. Arcuri, Member At Syracuse, New York

Dated and signed by the Members of the Industrial Board of Appeals on July 22, 2015.

- 4. The Board, by an Interim Resolution of Decision of March 11, 2015, approved the issuance of the amended orders as requested by the respondent, effective the date of the decision, but suspended any interest that had accrued between July 3, 2014, and the date the respondent files an answer with the Board and ordered the service of the amended orders on the petitioners in accordance with Labor Law § 33 within 30 days of the service of the decision; and
- 5. The Interim Resolution of Decision was served on the respondent on March 13, 2015; and
- The respondent has not provided the Board with proof of service of the amended orders as ordered by the Board.

### NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED THAT:

The petition for review be, and the same hereby is, granted in accordance with the Board's Rules.

Vilda Vera Mayuga, Chairperson At Albany, New York

J. Christopher Meagher, Member At Albany, New York

LaMarr J. Jackson, Member At Rochester, New York

Michael A. Arcuri, Member At Syracuse, New York

Dated and signed by the Members of the Industrial Board of Appeals on July 22, 2015.